

dear sirs or ma'am, i am writeing in regards to the proposal that xm radio not be allowed to offer the same sort of services that reagular broadcast raido offers. i don't understand how this is an issue. xm is a service wich is paid for by the individual that wishes to have a broader range of listening choices. most people would also like to know wha the traffic and weather are going to be like on their drive to and from work as well. which is why "free" radio annouceses it ona regular basis in the morning and afternoon drive times. so why can't satelitte radio. to deny xm listeners the right to this service would be the same as denying cable stations on television the right to do the same if they were so inclined to do so. this is, as i understand it, a breach of the first admendment rights of xm radio to say and broadcast what they wish. isn't it bad enough that we are the only suposedly "free" country that lets old men and women and "special interest" groups dictate what and how we can watch and listen to? now we are going to tell a company what kind of services they can offer to thier "PAYING" customers. this is un acceptipal!!! the nab needs to get ahold of it's self and grow up they are just upset that so many people have discovered how much bettere uncensored comercial free music is. in summry i just want to say that xm which is as i said earlier a pay service should be free to offer any programing it feels inclined to offer. thank you for your time.

IAN DAVID MULCAHY